

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

Pinedale Field Office WYHDD01

CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION/DECISION DOCUMENTATION

1. Case Serial or Project File Number: SRP WYD01-RU92-05	DOI-BLM-WY-D010-2016-0038-CX
2. Authority (See DM 516 Chapter 11): BLM CX 516 DM 11.9 (h)	
3. Project Name and Date of Application: Special Recreation Permit Renewal/Commercial 1/12/16	
4. Project Location: BLM administered lands within the Pinedale Field Office T. N. R. W. Sec(s). See Map in SRP File	
5. Project Description: Renewal of a commercial guide permit for big game hunting. This SRP does not authorize operation within any Wilderness Study Area's administered by the PFO. Antelope hunt units: 86, 87, 89, 90, 91 Applicant: Jon Toolson, Authorized Representative for T Lazy T Outfitters	
6. PLAN CONFORMANCE REVIEW. This proposed action is in conformance with the following land use plan: PFO RMP	
Name of Plan: ^{ARMPA} Pinedale Resource Management Plan	Date Approved: 11/26/2008 (43 CFR 1610.5, BLM MS 1617.3)

7. "EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES" (DM 516 Chapter 2) requiring preparation of an EA or EIS.


Would the Proposed Action:

- | | YES | NO |
|---|-----|----|
| 1. Have significant impacts on public health or safety. | | X |
| 2. Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas. | | X |
| 3. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)]. | | X |
| 4. Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks. | | X |
| 5. Establish a precedent for future actions or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects. | | X |
| 6. Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects. | | X |
| 7. Have significant impacts on properties listed, or eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places as determined by either the bureau or office. | | X |
| 8. Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species. | | X |
| 9. Violate a Federal law, or a State, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment. | | X |
| 10. Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (Executive Order 12898). | | X |
| 11. Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (Executive Order 13007). | | X |
| 12. Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112). | | X |

8. I CERTIFY THAT NONE OF THE EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES LISTED IN PART 8 ABOVE APPLY TO THIS ACTION.

Prepared By: (Signature and Specialist Title)  Joel Kasperman Outdoor Recreation Planner	Date Signed: 1/12/16
---	----------------------

9. DECISION. I have reviewed the plan conformance record and have determined that the proposed project is in conformance with the approved land use plan. The proposed action falls within the category described in Part 2 above. I have concluded that no extraordinary circumstances exist and that the proposed action would not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. Therefore, this proposal will be categorically excluded from further NEPA documentation and neither an environmental assessment (EA) nor an environmental impact statement (EIS) will be prepared. It is my decision to implement the project as described, with the mitigation measures either identified below or stipulation(s) attached in the case file.

Mitigation Measures/Other Remarks: (see SRP Conditions of Approval and Additional Stipulations)	
Authorized Official: 	Date: 1/12/2016